# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY UNITED STATES COAST GUARD

**UNITED STATES COAST GUARD** Complainant.

v.

**ERIK BAYLAS** Respondent. ALJ Docket No. 2025-0151 MISLE Activity No. 8094709

Honorable George J. Jordan Administrative Law Judge

## **DEFAULT ORDER**

This matter comes before me on the United States Coast Guard's (Coast Guard) Motion for Default Order (Motion for Default). As of the date of this order, Erik Baylas (Respondent) has not replied to the Complaint nor the Motion for Default. Upon review of the record and pertinent authority, the allegations in the Complaint are **PROVED**.

On March 28, 2025, the Coast Guard issued a Complaint against Respondent seeking to revoke his Merchant Mariner Credential (MMC) for being a user of a dangerous drug in violation of 46 U.S.C. § 7704(b), as defined by 46 C.F.R. § 5.35. Specifically, the Coast Guard alleges Respondent tested positive for methamphetamine and amphetamine after taking a preemployment drug test. On March 28, 2025, the Coast Guard filed its Return of Service providing the Complaint was personally served on Respondent the same day. Subsequently, the Coast Guard filed a Motion for Default on April 23, 2025. Coast Guard's Return of Service for the Motion for Default filed May 28, 2025, provides Respondent was served by certified mail to his residence for which he signed for on May 6, 2025.

To date, more than twenty days have passed since the service of the Motion for Default and Respondent has neither filed an answer nor requested an extension of time to file an answer. 33 C.F.R. § 20.308(a). As Respondent has neither filed an answer nor asserted good cause for failing to do so, I find Respondent in **DEFAULT**. 33 C.F.R. § 20.310(a); <u>Appeal Decision 2700</u> (<u>THOMAS</u>) (2012). A default constitutes an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of the right to a hearing on those facts. 33 C.F.R. § 20.310(c). Therefore, I find the following factual allegations in the Complaint **ADMITTED**:

- 1. On February 26, 2021, Respondent took a required pre-employment drug test, in accordance with Civilian Marine Personnel Instruction 792, pursuant to Executive Order 12564, and Public Law 100-71.
- 2. A urine specimen was collected from Respondent by Donna Woodrome of One-Pacific (Guam), Inc., in accordance with Department of Health and Human Services Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs.
- 3. Respondent signed a Federal Drug Testing Custody and Control Form for providing urine specimen ID No. M2079535.
- 4. Urine specimen ID No. M2079535 was received by and subsequently analyzed, pursuant to the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs, by the U.S. Army Forensic Toxicology Drug Testing Laboratory (FTDTL), Fort George G. Meade, Maryland, a SAMHSA certified laboratory.
- 5. On March 10, 2021, urine specimen ID No. M2079535 tested positive for methamphetamine and amphetamine, as reported by FTDTL.
- 6. On March 18, 2021, Dr. Robert Fierro, the Medical Review Officer, determined that Respondent failed a chemical test for dangerous drugs.
- 7. Respondent has been the user of a dangerous drug as described by 46 U.S.C. § 7704(b).

Upon finding the Respondent in default, I must now issue a decision against him. 33

C.F.R. § 20.310(d). In reviewing the record, I find the facts deemed admitted sufficient to

establish Respondent is a user of a dangerous drug, as outlined in 46 U.S.C. § 7704(b), 46 C.F.R.

§ 16.201(b), Appeal Decision 2556 (LINTON) (1994), Appeal Decision 2603 (HACKSTAFF)

(1998), and Appeal Decision 2704 (FRANKS) (2014). Accordingly, I find Respondent is a user

of a dangerous drug.

### SANCTION

Having found Respondent in default and all the allegations in the Complaint proved, I must now determine the appropriate sanction. 33 C.F.R. § 20.902(a)(2). While it is within the sole discretion of the ALJ to determine the appropriate sanction at the conclusion of a case. <u>Appeal Decision 2362 (ARNOLD)</u> (1984). A proved allegation that a mariner is a user of a dangerous drug carries a mandatory sanction of revocation of their MMC, unless they can prove cure. 46 U.S.C. § 7704(b). The Coast Guard proved Respondent is a user of a dangerous drug, thus the only sanction to levy is revocation.

#### WHEREFORE,

#### ORDER

Upon consideration of the record, I find Respondent in DEFAULT.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**, in accordance with 33 C.F.R. § 20.310, I find the allegations set forth in the Complaint **PROVED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, all of Respondent's Coast Guard issued credentials, including Respondent's Merchant Mariner Credential (MMC), are **REVOKED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED**, Respondent shall immediately deliver all Coast Guard issued credentials, license, certificates, or documents, including MMC **Constant**, by mail, courier service, or in person to: MSSD4 Jennifer Thomas, Investigations, U.S. Coast Guard Forces Micronesia/Sector Guam, PSC 455, Box 176, FPO AP 96540-1056. In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 2197, if **Respondent knowingly continues to use the Coast Guard issued MMC**, **Respondent may be subject to criminal prosecution**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED**, pursuant to 33 C.F.R. § 20.310(e), for good cause shown, an ALJ may set aside a finding of default. A motion to set aside a finding of default may

be filed with the ALJ Docketing Center in Baltimore. The motion may be sent to the U.S. Coast Guard Administrative Law Judge Docketing Center; Attention: Hearing Docket Clerk; Room 412; 40 S. Gay Street; Baltimore, MD 21202-4022.

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE**, within three (3) years or less, Respondent may file a motion to reopen this matter and seek modification of the order of revocation upon a showing that the order of revocation is no longer valid, and the issuance of a new license, certificate, or document is compatible with the requirement of good discipline and safety at sea. <u>See generally</u> 33 C.F.R. § 20.904.

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE**, service of this Default Order on the parties serves as notice of appeal rights set forth in 33 C.F.R. § 20.1001-20.1004 (Attachment A).

Done and dated, June 6, 2025, Seattle, Washington

GEORGE J. JORDAN UNITED STATES COAST GUARD ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE